

Staff Report to Agricultural Advisory Committee

Planning and Development Department

FILE: 3360-20-2022-06

REPORT DATE:	October 07, 2022	MEETING DATE:	November 10, 2022
то:	Agricultural Advisory Committee		
FROM:	Angie Lucas, Director of Planning and Development		
SUBJECT:	Rezoning Application for 118	398 Baynes Road	

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REVIEW/APPROVAL:

RECOMMENDATION(S):

THAT the Agricultural Advisory Committee:

- A. Recommends that Council approve the zoning text amendment application for the property at 11898 Baynes Road to permit construction of a 90 m² additional residence; OR
- B. Other.

PURPOSE

To present an application for a zoning text amendment for 11898 Baynes Rd (003-896-081), to permit construction of an additional, 90 m² residence.

Information Report Decision Report 🛛 Direction Report

DISCUSSION

Background:

On December 31, 2021, the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) amended their regulations to permit an additional residence (90 m²) on properties in the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).

The City then amended its Zoning Bylaw to limit placement of these additional residences to properties at least 8 ha (20 acres) in size and that are classified as "farm" by BC Assessment, in order to provide flexibility for active farmers and to limit rural residential estates. When the

Zoning Bylaw was amended to implement this change, it was noted that individual properties less than 8 ha would have the option of applying for a zoning text amendment to permit this additional residence, and each such application would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. This is the first such application received by the City.

Owner/applicant:	Chris Begg
Civic Address:	11898 Baynes Rd
Property Size:	1.22 ha (3.292 acres)
OCP Designation:	Agricultural
Zoning:	A-1 General Agriculture

This parcel was part of a previous ALC exclusion application that was denied by the ALC in 2021. It currently contains a single family dwelling built in 1993, located at the far east end of the property.

Relevant Policy, Bylaw or Legislation:

In the Official Community Plan, the property is designated at Agricultural, and agricultural use is prioritized on land in the ALR. The following policies applies:

- 2.1.4: Limit housing in the ALR.
 - c. The following policies are to be regarded as guidelines that Council may apply, on a case-by-case basis, when considering either rezoning applications or applications to the ALC, to increase the size of a house or permit an additional home in the City's agricultural area:
 - » the need to accommodate a variety of cultural and intergenerational family needs and farm situations;
 - » verification that the site has been or can be used for agricultural production;
 - » verification that the applicant has been farming in Pitt Meadows or elsewhere for a significant period; or if they are a new farmer, that they can demonstrate they are, or will be, capable of farming;
 - » demonstration that there is a need for a larger farmhouse to accommodate existing or anticipated workers on-site through the submission of a detailed report from a professional agrologist indicating such, or through other information; and
 - » submission of a farm plan that is acceptable to Council, which may include justifying any proposed on-site infrastructure or farm improvements, including providing financial security to ensure that the approved farm plan is implemented.

The property is zoned as A-1 (General Agricultural), which permits agriculture and related uses, along with limited residential uses. To permit an additional, 90 m² dwelling on the property, a site-specific text amendment is required, as this parcel is less than 8 ha in size and is not classified as "farm" by BC Assessment.



Analysis:

In the OCP, the Urban Containment Boundary is defined as:

"A conceptual line that generally coincides with the Agricultural Land Reserve boundary. The City favours development within this boundary and discourages development outside of it in order to protect and preserve land for farming and for environmental protection."

This parcel is unique in that it is one of only four privately-owned parcels in the ALR that are also located within the Urban Containment Boundary (see Figure 1):




Figure 1: Privately-owned ALR parcels within the urban containment boundary Committee Staff Report – Page 3 of 9

Detailed plans for the additional dwelling have not been completed yet, as the applicant does not want to go to this expense if the application is not approved. In saying that, the additional dwelling will be required to comply with the following regulations that will impact the design:

- Maximum height 6 m;
- Maximum floor area 90 m² (968 ft²);
- Maximum distance from existing house 50 m;
- Minimum rear setback 7.5 m; •
- Share a driveway with existing house; and
- Minimum flood construction level of 5.75 m. •

The applicant advised that the additional residence will be located near the existing house, approximately as shown in Figure 2:



Figure 2: Proposed area for additional residence

If this rezoning application is approved, a development permit is not required. A soil permit and/or soil fill approval from the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) may be required depending on the foundation type and any geotechnical engineering requirements. This will be determined at or before the building permit stage.

The applicant advised that, if approved, his daughter and partner will live in the new, additional dwelling and the remainder of he and his family will occupy the existing house.

The intent of the City restricting these additional residences to properties in the ALR that are larger than 8 ha is to limit the proliferation of "estate-style" development on agricultural properties to the detriment of bona fide farming activity. In this case, the property is not actively farmed. However, the existing house is located in the southeast corner of the property and the additional residence is proposed beside it, confining the residential footprint to one area. Although there is an existing driveway bisecting the property, the remainder of the property is

Committee Staff Report - Page 4 of 9

undeveloped and available for farming in the future, with the exception of a small outbuilding by the property entrance used for yard equipment storage. Based on the size of this property, historical challenges with drainage in the area, and the financial cost to improve the soil, it seems unlikely that traditional field crops will be pursued. At this time, the applicant has not provided any indication that farming activity will be undertaken.

The site is next to the Pitt Meadows Airport. The Noise Exposure Frequency (NEF) map shows that the site is within NEF 30 – 35 range. Aircraft noise is noticeable beginning as low as NEF 25. At NEF speech interference 30, and annoyance caused by aircraft noise are, on average, established and growing. By NEF 35, these effects are very significant. Transport Canada does not support residential development at NEF 30 and above. In saying that, the applicant has owned the property since 2013 and is aware of the noise from the airport.




Figure 3: Noise exposure forecast

The property is also located in the flood plain, and a minimum flood construction level of 5.75 m is required for new construction; this can be achieved through fill importation and/or construction techniques. The proposed site for the additional residence is at approximately 2.7-3.0 m elevation level currently.

Alternatives

If this application is not approved, the applicant can construct an addition to the existing single family dwelling, or demolish and rebuild, to a maximum floor area of 500 m². The single family dwelling can include a secondary suite.

Next Steps

With the comments from the AAC, this application will be brought forward to Council for bylaw readings. If it receives 1st and 2nd readings, a public hearing will be scheduled.

If approved, the next step for the applicant is to apply for a building permit. As this property is located in the ALR, a development permit for form and character is not required. A garden suite in the urban, residential areas would require a development permit for form and character, to address landscaping, privacy and fit within the neighbourhood. While a development permit is not required, the applicant has indicated that screening will be provided along the rear property line (east), which is closest to residential neighbours. This screening will take the form of landscaping and/or fencing.

This application is somewhat unique. The property is in the ALR but is not being farmed, and is within the City's urban containment boundary. This proposal does not demonstrate a benefit to agriculture, but given its close proximity to adjacent, urban residential lots, is likely to be compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood.

KATZIE FIRST NATION CONSIDERATIONS

Referral \Box Yes \boxtimes No

SIGN-OFFS

Written by:

Allison Dominelli, Senior Development Services Technician **Reviewed by:**

Colin O'Byrne, Manager of Planning

ATTACHMENT(S):

- A. Letter of Intent
- B. Neighbourhood OCP Map
- C. Neighbourhood Zoning Map

Attachment A: Letter of Intent

Committee Staff Report – Page 6 of 9

Allison Dominelli City of Pitt Meadows 12007 Harris Rd. Pitt Meadows, BC V3Y 2B5

Re: Letter of Intent for 11898 Baynes Rd.

Allison,

We are applying for a zoning text amendment to build a 90 sq m accessory residential home for our children adjacent to our existing home on the property. The 90 sq m size adheres to the ALC's new guidelines for accessory residential construction. As one of only 4 agriculturally zoned properties within the Pitt Meadows urban area, we feel that the City bylaw precluding agriculturally zoned properties under 20 acres from building accessory residential homes was not envisioned to apply to properties in the Urban area. Further, R1 residential lot owners around us are able to build garden suites of similar size. As you are aware, we submitted an ALC exclusion application last year including a comprehensive community plan. The existing home and the proposed new home would fit into that community plan should an exclusion be revisited in the future.

Best regards,

This Bay


Chris Begg

Committee Staff Report – Page 7 of 9



Attachment B: Neighbourhood OCP Map

Committee Staff Report – Page 8 of 9



Attachment C: Neighbourhood Zoning Map

Committee Staff Report – Page 9 of 9